CONFLICT RESOLUTION STYLES POTENTIAL POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE CONSEQUENCES

When to Compete

- 1. When quick decisive action is needed
- 2. On important issues for which unpopular courses of action need implementing
- 3. On issues vital to company welfare
- 4. When protection is needed against people who take advantage of noncompetitive behavior

Potential Negative Consequences of Competing

- 1. Eventually being surrounded by "yes" people
- 2. Fear of admitting ignorance or uncertainty
- 3. Distorted perceptions
- 4. Reduced communication
- 5. Damage to relationship
- 6. No commitment from the other person
- 7. Having to keep "selling" or policing the solution during implementation

When to Avoid

- 1. When an issue is trivial
- 2. When there is no chance of getting what you want
- 3. When the potential damage of confrontation outweighs the benefits of resolution
- 4. When one needs to cool down, reduce tensions, and regain perspective and composure
- 5. When the need is to gather more information
- 6. When others can resolve the conflict more effectively
- 7. When the issue seems symptomatic of another fundamental issue

Potential Negative Consequences of Avoiding

- 1. Decisions made by default
- 2. Unresolved issues
- 3. Energy sapped by sitting on issues
- 4. Self-doubt created through lack of esteem
- 5. Creative input and improvement prevented
- 6. Lack of credibility

When to Accommodate

- 1. When one realizes one is wrong
- 2. When the issue is much more important to the other person
- 3. When "credits" need to be accumulated for issues that are more important
- 4. When continued competition would only damage the cause
- 5. When preserving harmony and avoiding disruption are especially important
- 6. When subordinates need to develop and learn from mistakes

Potential Negative Consequences of Accommodating

- 1. Decreased influences, respect, or recognition by too much deference
- 2. Laxity in discipline
- 3. Frustrations as own needs are not met
- 4. Self-esteem undermined
- 5. Relinquished best solution

When to Compromise

- 1. When goals are moderately important but not worth the effort of potential disruption of more assertive modes
- 2. When two opponents with equal power are strongly committed to mutually exclusive goals
- 3. When temporary settlements are needed on complex issues
- 4. When expedient solutions are necessary under time pressure
- 5. If a back-up mode is needed when collaboration fails

Potential Negative Consequences of Compromising

- 1. Not fully satisfied
- 2. Short-lived solutions
- 3. A cynical climate through perception of a sell out
- 4. Losing sight of the larger issues, principles, long-term objectives, values, and the company welfare by focusing on practicalities

When to Collaborate

- 1. When both sets or concerns are too important to be compromised
- 2. When the objective is to test one's own assumptions or better understand the views of others
- 3. When there is a need to merge insights from people with different perspectives on a problem
- 4. When commitment can be increased by incorporating other's concerns into a consensus decision
- 5. When working through hard feelings that have been interfering with an interpersonal relationship

Potential Negative Consequences of Collaborating

- 1. Too much time spent on an insignificant issue
- 2. Ineffective decisions made from input from people unfamiliar with the situation
- 3. Unfounded assumptions about trust

If you would like more advice or coaching on resolving conflict contact us at Crossroads (803) 808-1800.